



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,670	08/31/2001	Brad Pivar	7207-211	1117
27383	7590	03/01/2004	EXAMINER	
CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP 200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10166			RONES, CHARLES	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	
DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/943,670	PIVAR ET AL.
	Examiner Charles L. Rones	Art Unit 2175

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 November 2003.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 9 and 11 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

The amendment timely filed on November 17, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brimm et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,077,666 ('Brimm').

Brimm discloses:

As to claim 1,

selecting a document to be completed by more than one party; See 4:1-50; 5:35-55;

providing at least one request to a first user at a first location on a computer network for information used to complete the document; See 4:1-50; 6:35-51;

receiving at least one response to the least one request from the first user used to complete the document; See 3:55-67; 9:31-54;

providing at least one request to a second user at a second location on the computer network for information used to complete the document; See 3:55-67; 9:31-54;

receiving at least one response to the least one request from the second user used to complete the document; See 3:55-67; 5:20-55; 9:31-54; and

writing information obtained from the first and second users used to complete the document onto at least one digital file; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61.

As to claim 3,

determining whether the at least one response to at least one request from the first user is valid and second, at least one additional request to the first user for additional information if the at least one response from the first user is invalid; See 12:24-50.

As to claim 4,

determining whether the at least one response to the at least one request from the second user is valid and sending at least one additional request to the second user for additional information if the at least one response from the second user is invalid; See 12:24-50.

As to claim 5,

performing a routine determined from the selected document to provide feedback to the first user, the feedback determined in part by the at least one response to the at least one request received from the first user; See 12:24-50.

As to claim 6,

performing a routine determined from the selected document to provide feedback to the second user, the feedback determined in part by the at least one response to the at least one request received from the second user; See 12:24-50.

As to claim 7,

performing, a routine determined from the selected document to provide feedback to the second user, the feedback determined in part by the at least one response to the at least one request received from the second user; See 12:24-50.

As to claim 8,

selecting a document to be completed by more than one party; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50;

providing at least one request to a first user at a first location on a computer network for information used to complete the document; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50;

receiving at least one response to the least one request from the first user used to complete the document; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50;

providing at least one request to a second user at a second location on the computer network for information used to complete the document; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50;

receiving at least one response to the least one request from the second user used to complete the document; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50; and

writing information obtained from the at least one response from the first and second users onto at least one digital file; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50;

wherein upon the recognition of an occurrence of an event on the computer network, a digital signature routine is performed on the at least one digital file to obtain a digital signature of the at least one digital file and wherein a time stamp corresponding to the time of the recognition of the occurrence of the event is created, the digital signature and the time stamp being sent to a remote location; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50.

As to claim 10

storage device; See 6:9-33;
processor connected to the storage device and to a first and second user on a computer network; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50;
the storage device storing a program for controlling the processor; and

the processor operative with the program to send at least one request associated with a selected document to a first user at a first location on a computer network; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50;

receive at least one response to the at least one request from the first user; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50;

send at least one request associated with the selected document to a second user at a second location on a computer network; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50;

receive at least one response to the at least one request from the second user; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50;

and write information obtained from the at least one response from the first and second users onto the at least one digital file; See 5:20-55; 9:31-53; 10:50-61; 12:24-50.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brimm et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,077,666 ('Brimm').

As to claim 2,

Brimm discloses the claimed invention except for wherein the computer network is the Internet. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide wherein the computer network is the Internet since it was known in the art that connected to a broader network would enable users remote access available at more outside locations; See 5:58-67; 6:34-63.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9 and 11 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles L. Rones whose telephone number is 703-306-3030. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Charles L. Rones
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2175

February 23, 2004